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CONCURRENT CARE

How do you feel about it?
HOSPICE AND PALLIATIVE CARE PROVIDERS

CURRENT MEDICARE HOSPICE BENEFIT

CHANGES TO ALLOW PATIENTS MORE FLEXIBILITY
NOT SURE IF CONCURRENT CARE IS REALLY CONFUSING

OR BEST THING EVER
YOU GET HOSPICE!

AND YOU GET LIFE-PROLONGING TREATMENTS!
OBJECTIVES

• Define concurrent care and identify settings in which concurrent care is being offered.

• Learn how the Hospice Benefit for Veterans who receive care through the Veterans Health Administration differs from the Medicare Hospice benefit.

• Articulate strengths and challenges related to the provision of, and communication around, concurrent care.

• Identify potential strategies for making the most of concurrent care opportunities and avoiding common pitfalls.
WHAT IS CONCURRENT CARE?

“Concurrent curative care means receiving curative care to eradicate disease or normalize the underlying health condition, while simultaneously receiving hospice care for physical symptoms and psychosocial needs at end of life”

WHAT DOES CONCURRENT CARE FOR PATIENTS ON HOSPICE *REALLY* LOOK LIKE?

Does “concurrent care” happen when...

- …a patient with ESRD receives 2 weeks of HD for goal of attending her daughter’s wedding in 10 days?
- …the hospice team supports closer management of heart failure to relieve symptoms like SOB?
- …a hospice patient with prostate cancer has a “check-in” visit with a oncologist of 8 years?
- …a hospice patient with a prognosis of 3-4 months wants to undergo previously scheduled cataract surgery?
- …a patient with terminal lung cancer wishes to continue HD?
HOSPICE IS LESS BLACK AND WHITE THAN THE MEDICARE CONDITIONS OF PARTICIPATION MIGHT LEAD US TO BELIEVE
CALLS FOR CHANGES IN THE MEDICARE HOSPICE BENEFIT

- In our dichotomous system — one that forces patients to choose between the goal of comfort or cure — this means that most of them will forgo palliative care.
  
  Watcher, R. (2018, April 19) The Problem with Miracle Cures, NYT.

- A change in hospice benefits that (financially) supports patients whose terminal illness is ESRD, allowing them to continue to receive hemodialysis and hospice care, could be both cost saving and improve their care at the end of life.


“Finding ways to increase the use of hospice and palliative care – such as through concurrent care – will be a significant step toward addressing the public health problem of the burden of advanced life-limiting illness.”

IF THIS IS THE ROAD AHEAD, WHERE CAN WE FIND A MAP?
THE FUTURE IS NOW: WHERE CONCURRENT CARE IS HAPPENING

- Medicare Demonstration Project – Medicare Choices Model
  - First Medicare hospice demonstration in 35 years
  - “A new option for Medicare beneficiaries to receive supported care services from selected hospice providers, while continuing to receive services provided by other Medicare providers, including care for their terminal condition.”
  - 5 year demonstration. CMS invited over 140 Medicare-certified hospices to participate in the Model
  - Cohort #1 started Jan 1, 2016, Cohort #2 started Jan 1, 2018.
  - Outcomes and results pending

THE FUTURE IS NOW: WHERE CONCURRENT CARE IS HAPPENING

- Pediatric Concurrent Care under the ACA – Medicaid/CHIP only
- “Section 2302 states that a child who is eligible for and receives services for hospice care must also have all other services provided, or have payment for services that are related to the treatment of the child’s condition.”
- Same 6-month prognosis criteria applies.
- Impacts not well studied. Lindley (2016) found that a similar county-by-county policy in California did not increase Hospice enrollment, but did increase length of stay.

THE FUTURE IS NOW: WHERE CONCURRENT CARE IS HAPPENING

• The Veterans Health Administration

• The VA Comprehensive End-of-Life Care Initiative (CELCI) “enabled Veterans enrolled in the VA to access hospice without having to forgo all active, disease-modifying cancer treatment – often referred to as the ‘terrible choice’ facing Medicare beneficiaries.”

Memorandum

Date: AUG 24 2016

From: Acting Deputy Under Secretary for Health for Operations and Management (10N)

Subj: Providing Hospice Care and the Importance of Associated Coding

To: Network Director (10N1-23)
Chief Medical Officers (10N1-23)

1. To promote improved coding of workload and to address possible confusion about the definition of "hospice", this memorandum provides interim guidance on the definition of hospice as it pertains to administrative accounting and workload (e.g., Decision Support System stop code 351 for hospice care, and treating specialty "1F" for hospice in an acute care setting). Hospice includes the following core elements:

   a. Veteran has a life-expectancy of less than 6 months given the normal
1. To promote improved coding of workload and to address possible confusion about the definition of "hospice", this memorandum provides interim guidance on the definition of hospice as it pertains to administrative accounting and workload (e.g., Decision Support System stop code 351 for hospice care, and treating specialty "1F" for hospice in an acute care setting). Hospice includes the following core elements:

   a. Veteran has a life-expectancy of less than 6 months given the normal course of disease, as determined by a Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) physician;

   i. The focus of care is on comfort and quality of life, though this does not exclude disease modifying interventions;
   ii. The Veteran makes an informed decision to receive hospice care; and
   iii. Hospice services are delivered by an interdisciplinary team with expertise in this area.

   Note that the codes specific for hospice care, such as 351 and 1F, are to be used only for Veterans meeting all four of the core elements of hospice care.

2. The Office of Geriatrics and Extended Care (GEC) Services is working on updating related policies and administrative documents to more uniformly define hospice, as outlined in this memorandum. In conjunction with these efforts, GEC
CASES: HOW DOES IT PLAY OUT?

Three Categories of Concurrent Care
Cases to Consider
“FULL” CONCURRENT CARE

- 83 yo male veteran with metastatic melanoma
  - Initial Dx 2/2014
  - Mets to lung, bone, soft tissue 9/2015
  - Mets to brain 8/2016
  - Intracardiac mass 10/2018
  - XRT @ 3/2014, 8/2016, 5/2017
  - Dabrafenib & Trametinib through 8/2017
  - Pembrolizumab q21 days 8/2017 - present
“FULL” CONCURRENT CARE

- Minimal Sx’s or functional decline through most of his Dz/Tx history
- Mild fatigue, weakness, cognitive changes, and HAs began July 2018
- Concurrent care hospice recommended at that time by VA providers
- Pt demonstrating very slow decline and tolerating Tx without burden
- Pt open to hospice only if allowed to continue immunotherapy
- Goals of remaining at home (ALF) as long as possible, well managed Sx’s when they occur, live as long as possible with acceptable QOL
- Admitted to hospice 7/2018
- Pt continues to receive palliative immunotherapy q21 days with minimal AE’s or Tx burden
“FULL” CONCURRENT CARE

- Pt continues to live independently and achieve his QOL and care goals aligned with his values
- Has required increased utilization of hospice team members over time. Now seeing RNCM, chaplain, massage, MSW, music, and aide
- Increasing HA frequency and severity; Progressive weakness, fatigue, anorexia, and somnolence
- Ongoing d/w Pt regarding continued immunotherapy with goal of stopping when burden outweighs benefit
STRENGTHS

• Veteran receives earlier involvement of holistic ID team to provide support and care in the home setting
• Veteran not required to make an “all or nothing” decision regarding Tx; Developed a POC that met his goals and values
• Veteran has been able to achieve goals of living independently with acceptable QOL
• There is time to build a relationship between Pt and hospice team to better assist with appropriate POC as disease progresses

CHALLENGES

• Longer LOS
• Pursuit of palliative Tx complicates prognostication
• Communication is vital and more difficult
  • With Pt
  • With VA providers
• Veterans particularly loyal to VA provider input
  • Pt less trusting of hospice team
  • More difficult to help guide POC discussions

“FULL” CONCURRENT CARE
PARTIAL” CONCURRENT CARE

- 85 year-old Veteran with a history of TIA’s who is living in a memory care unit. She has been receiving hospice services for 5 months for Cerebral Vascular Disease resulting in Vascular Dementia.

- POAHC was visiting, and noticed that her mother was lethargic, fatigued, and had a high pulse rate. She suspected an A-fib “episode” like she had in the past and requested treatment.
PARTIAL CONCURRENT CARE

- Caregivers on the memory unit initially dismissed the request, reminding the daughter that the patient was on hospice.
- After finally contacting hospice, the hospice RN affirmed that “hospice philosophy” does not include “active treatment.”
- Further consideration from the IDG prompted review of the patient’s treatment history and a gentle reminder that this 85 year-old woman had VA benefits.
- She was taken to the VA for treatment of her A-fib.
**STRENGTHS**

- Traditional hospice philosophy and knowledge of regulations is alive and well!
- Concurrent care for a non-related hospice diagnosis is always an option regardless of Veteran status
- Collaboration between physicians/clinicians offers a holistic and balanced perspective to symptom management at end-of-life
- The IDG provides a broad view of patient situations and allows for discussion to offer symptom management through a variety of treatment options and concurrent care

**CHALLENGES**

- Change in condition (weakness/ fatigue) are minimized because of patient’s hospice election
- Because Lois was on hospice, the staff on the memory care unit told the family she was “not allowed” to go to the ER
- Revoking hospice benefit is the hospice “go to” when seeking “active” treatment
- How much is too much treatment?

---

**“PARTIAL” CONCURRENT CARE**
“POSSIBILITY” OF CONCURRENT CARE

70 year-old Veteran with undifferentiated sarcomatoid malignancy of R posterior neck

- Diagnosed May 26, 2018
- Plan: surgical resection then adjuvant chemo
- Radical excision July 5, 2018 (+residual microscopic disease)
- Planned for systemic chemo (and maybe XRT--needed to clarify metastatic disease or no)
- Discharged home w/adequate pain control on oral opioids
• Readmitted 7/24/18 for increasing pain and vomiting, pain quickly controlled, discharged home
• Started XRT 7/26/18
• Readmitted 7/31/18 for severe pain
  • Mass had grown so much that XRT mask wouldn’t fit
  • Pt declined life-prolonging chemo, interested in life-prolonging immunotherapy if indicated
  • Pt desired home hospice to help manage PCA
• Discharged home 8/8/18 w/hospice and PCA, pain well controlled
“POSSIBILITY” OF CONCURRENT CARE

• Presented to oncology clinic on 8/15/18 to start pembrolizumab, but had increase in pain not controlled w/PCA, weakness, dysphagia
  • Decided to hold on infusion and admit to VA Inpatient Hospice Bed for EOL care (prognosis of days)
• Died 8/20/18
STRENGTHS

• Veteran (and family) immediately receive the support and care they need
• Families for whom life-prolonging treatments are a source of hope can be told they are still available
• We can hope for what the veteran hopes for, while also preparing for other outcomes

CHALLENGES

• Are we enabling other specialists – or ourselves – to avoid truly difficult conversations?
• Does a goal of continuing life-prolonging therapies alter focus to an extent that precious time is spent injudiciously?
WHAT PULLS US TOWARD CONCURRENT CARE

• Patient-Centered, not Regulation-Centered, Care
• It allows us to support patient decisions that are based on education and information.
• It allows for services and support in an era of changing and increasing prognostic uncertainty.
• It speaks to our values as people passionate about end-of-life care and individualized care plans.
WOULD CHANGING PAYMENT STRUCTURES REALLY BE ENOUGH?

- Increases in concurrent care in the VA between 2006 and 2012 were not found to correlate with earlier enrollment with hospice prior to death.
- Reimbursement policies don’t do anything to change the culture and values that drive patient and clinician behavior.

RECOMMENDATIONS TO NAVIGATE CONCURRENT CARE CASES
ONE DOES NOT SIMPLY

*DO* CONCURRENT CARE
RECOMMENDATIONS

- Accept that you are never done communicating.
- It is necessary to establish clear expectations for the Hospice Agency and the system that is providing additional care.
- Know that a concurrent care plan changes to another kind of plan at some point.
- For working across systems, have good contacts with whomever is within the other system, be it VA or otherwise.
QUESTIONS AND DISCUSSION