

Cancer and the LGBT community: Same but different

Ulrike Boehmer, PhD

Email: boehmer@bu.edu

Identifying Sexual and Gender Minorities

PATIENT INTAKE FORM

PATIENT INFORMATION			
Name _____		Soc. Sec. # _____	
<small>Last Name</small>	<small>First Name</small>	<small>Initial</small>	
Address _____			
City _____		State _____	Zip _____
Home Phone _____		Work/Mobile Phone _____	
Sex <input type="checkbox"/> M <input type="checkbox"/> F Age _____ Birth date _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Single <input type="checkbox"/> Married <input type="checkbox"/> Widowed <input type="checkbox"/> Separated <input type="checkbox"/> Divorced			
Patient Employed By or School _____		Occupation or Grade _____	
Whom may we thank for referring you? _____			
In case of emergency, who should be notified? _____		Phone _____	
PRIMARY INSURANCE			
Person responsible for Account _____			
<small>Last Name</small>	<small>First Name</small>	<small>Initial</small>	
Relationship to Patient _____		Birth Date _____	Soc. Sec. # _____
Address (if different from patient's) _____		Phone _____	
City _____		State _____	Zip _____
Person Responsible Employed by _____		Occupation _____	
Insurance Company _____		Ins. ID No. _____	
ADDITIONAL INSURANCE/EAP			

Relationship status and disclosure

- Among breast cancer survivors, lesbians with a partner were significantly more likely to disclose their sexual orientation to their physicians than lesbians without partners ($p < 0.001$). Among bisexual women with breast cancer, partner status was not significantly related to disclosure to physicians.
- Similarly, among colorectal cancer survivors, sexual minority men and women who were partnered were more likely to disclose their sexual orientation than those without partners.
- Disclosure impacts the well-being of dyads of sexual minority women with breast cancer and their caregivers: the patient's sexual orientation disclosure is linked to lower caregiver distress.

The meaning of disclosure for cancer survivors

- In the general population, disclosure of sexual orientation to providers is linked to better mental health among sexual minority patients.
- In the context of cancer, among Lesbians with Breast Cancer disclosure does not relate to lower distress, anxiety, depression, mental quality of life.
- *And having to worry about is she homophobic and will she take another snip out of me that she's not supposed to? I mean, it's crazy, but you do have those thoughts: Is this doctor homophobic, and will he treat me equally or she treat me equally?*
- Without intake forms and medical records, patients have to disclose again and again.

Mixed news on diagnoses and treatment

- Population-based studies suggested that sexual minority men and women and transgender individuals are **diagnosed at a younger age** compared to cisgender and heterosexual men and women (Boehmer, Jackson).
- Breast and colorectal cancer survivor studies show no differences by stage at diagnosis and treatment between sexual minority and heterosexual survivors (Boehmer).
- Transgender survivors diagnosed with more advanced lung cancer and less likely to receive treatments for some cancers compared to cisgender populations (Jackson).

Characteristics of Sexual Minority Survivors

	Breast Cancer		Colorectal Cancer	
	Sexual Minority women	Heterosexual women	Sexual Minority men and women	Heterosexual men and women
Partnered	71.0%	69.7%	59.8%	64.6%
Lives alone	24.6%	29.2%	31.7%	25.4%
Support group	42.0%***	25.3%	13.8%	10.2%
Counseling	44.9%***	17.5%	17.9%***	8.8%
Mental health counseling before cancer	74.2%***	46.6%	48.0%***	20.7%
Discrimination Lifetime; M (SD)	1.4 (1.4)***	0.5 (0.9)	1.5 (1.6)***	0.7 (1.2)

***p<.01; **p<.05

The implications of lifetime discrimination

Among Sexual Minorities with Colorectal Cancer

	Mental Quality of Life Beta (95%CI)	Physical Quality of Life Beta (95%CI)	Anxiety Beta (95%CI)	Depression Beta (95%CI)	Health- related Anxiety OR (95%CI)	Physician communic ation Beta (95%CI)	Coordinati on of Care Beta (95%CI)	Nursing Care Beta (95%CI)
Discriminat ion Summary	-3.05*** (-4.31, -1.79)	-1.60** (-2.90, -0.29)	0.82*** (0.36, 1.27)	0.72*** (0.32, 1.12)	1.45*** (1.11, 1.89)	-2.98*** (-5.18, -0.78)	-2.11** (-3.75, -0.46)	-2.65*** (-4.15, -1.15)
Sexual orientation Discriminat ion	-2.90 (-7.00, 1.20)	-2.39 (-0.60, 1.81)	0.58 (-0.93, 2.08)	0.64 (-0.67, 1.95)	1.68 (0.74, 3.82)	-8.26** (-15.15, -1.37)	-6.12** (-11.33, -0.90)	-1.78 (-6.76, 3.20)

Quality of Care among CRC Survivors

	Heterosexual	Sexual Minority	Sexual Minority Adjusted (95% CI) [Ref=Heterosexual]
No follow-up tests	11.3%	7.0%	0.64 AOR (0.26, 1.62)
Colonoscopy within past 2 years	70.3%	72.8%	0.99 AOR (0.59, 1.67)
CEA test within past 2 years	53.0%	62.0%	1.45 AOR (0.78, 2.69)
Imaging test within past 2 years	69.8%	86.1%	3.81 AOR (1.63, 8.92)***
Self-reported care as excellent (vs. not)	48.6%	59.2%	1.68 AOR (1.02, 2.77)**
	<i>Mean (SD)</i>	<i>Mean (SD)</i>	<i>b (95% CI)</i>
Physician Communication,	87.4 (18.8)	87.7 (19.4)	0.77 (-3.20, 4.74)
Nursing care	92.8 (16.9)	92.5 (13.6)	-0.04 (-3.46, 3.37)
Coordination of Care	89.4 (15.5)	90.2 (14.6)	1.40 (-1.77, 4.57)

***<0.01; ** p<0.05

Cancer Survivors' Access to Care by Sexual Orientation

	Sexual Minority Men % (SE)	Heterosexual Men % (SE)	p	Sexual Minority Women % (SE)	Heterosexual Women % (SE)	p
Without health care coverage	5.61 (1.43)	3.58 (0.36)	0.1664	10.02 (1.95)	4.51 (0.29)	0.0046
Without a personal doctor	10.49 (2.46)	7.38 (0.56)	0.2204	14.61 (2.56)	5.71 (0.29)	0.0006
Could not see MD because of cost	12.52 (2.01)	6.96 (0.41)	0.0054	27.35 (3.31)	12.50 (0.44)	<.0001
Without routine checkup within past year	15.18 (2.57)	14.16 (0.59)	0.6988	20.71 (2.64)	15.41 (0.43)	0.0386
Poor access to care	26.41 (3.09)	23.83 (0.71)	0.4103	42.66 (3.87)	27.98 (0.58)	<.0001

Implications of Sexual Minorities' Poor Access to Care

- Sexual minority women's poor access to care had a stronger association with sexual minority women's well-being compared to heterosexual women.
- Among men, sexual minority status was independently linked to mental quality of life.
- We need efforts to increase equity in access to care especially for sexual minority women.
- Because quality of life is a predictor of mortality, there is particular concern about sexual minority women potentially experiencing greater mortality compared to heterosexual women.

Concluding thoughts

- Few physicians ask and intake forms rarely inquire about sexual orientation. Sexual minorities with a cancer diagnosis are burdened with disclosing their sexual minority status.
- Relationship partners/spouses to sexual minority cancer survivors benefit from disclosure, survivors do not.
- Sexual minorities' lifetime experiences of discrimination affect their interactions with the healthcare system and their well-being.
- We need education of health care staff and increased efforts to make the health care system welcoming to sexual minority populations who bring a history of discrimination to health care encounters.

- Heterosexual individuals expect quality of care, demand it, and take it for granted, while sexual minorities are likely grateful for care and the absence of discrimination while seeking cancer care.
- In particular sexual minority women have poor access to care.
- Sexual minority women's poor access to care explains their poorer well-being.
- The absence of sexual orientation data may hide important disparities such as sexual minorities' higher cancer mortality.
- We need to increase efforts to collect sexual orientation data consistently.